

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	1
10/620,515	07/16/2003	Kelvin S. Vartti	RA 5487	8491	
27516 UNISYS CORI	7590 . 03/27/2007 PORATION	EXAMINER			
MS 4773			BATAILLE, PIERRE MICHE		
PO BOX 64942 ST. PAUL, MN	=		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
51.11.15 .5 ,			2186		
	•				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
	•		03/27/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application Number	Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination	
	10/620,515	VARTTI ET AL.	
	Diama M. Datailla	Art Unit	
	Pierre M. Bataille	2186	
Document Code - AP.PRE.	DEC		

Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Re	view filed <u>7/7/06</u> .	
1. Improper Request – The Request is improper a reason(s):	nd a conference will no	t be held for the following
☐ The Notice of Appeal has not been filed concu☐ The request does not include reasons why a re☐ A proposed amendment is included with the Pi☐ Other:	eview is appropriate.	
The time period for filing a response continues to run fithe mail date of the last Office communication, if no No.		
2. Proceed to Board of Patent Appeals and Interheld. The application remains under appeal because the is required to submit an appeal brief in accordance with brief will be reset to be one month from mailing this de running from the receipt of the notice of appeal, which appeal brief is extendible under 37 CFR 1.136 based of the notice of appeal, as applicable.	here is at least one actu h 37 CFR 41.37. The tir cision, or the balance o ever is greater. Further,	al issue for appeal. Applicant me period for filing an appeal f the two-month time period the time period for filing of the
☐ The panel has determined the status of the claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	laim(s) is as follows:	
3. Allowable application – A conference has beer Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits re applicant at this time.	n held. The rejection is we mains closed. No furth	vithdrawn and a Notice of er action is required by
4. ☐ Reopen Prosecution – A conference has been action will be mailed. No further action is required by a		ithdrawn and a new Office
All participants:		
(1) Pierre M. Bataille.	(3) <u>Lynne H Browne</u> .	Lynne H. Browne Appeal Specialist, TQAS
(2) <u>Matt Kim</u> .	(4)	Technology Center 2100